

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/752,046	SCHIER, JOHN ELMORE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher A. Revak	2131	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Brad Williams.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-9,20-24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

cel 5/30/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noticed that claims 1-9 and 20-24 had been withdrawn from consideration in response a restriction, however the claims needed to be cancelled since the application was in conditions for allowance. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to cancel the withdrawn claims..